Public Document Pack



Please reply to: Darryl White Service: Strategy & Commissioning Direct Dial: (01803) 861247

E-mail address: Darryl.White@swdevon.gov.uk

Date:

Dear Councillor

WEST DEVON OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY (INTERNAL) COMMITTEE - TUESDAY, 8TH NOVEMBER, 2016

I refer to the agenda for the above meeting and attach papers in connection with the following item(s).

Agenda No Item

2. Confirmation of Minutes (Pages 1 - 6)

Meeting held on 6 September 2016

14. Committee Decisions Log (Pages 7 - 10)

Yours sincerely

Darryl White Senior Specialist – Democratic Services

Encs



Agenda Item 2

At a Meeting of the **OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY (INTERNAL) COMMITTEE** held at the Council Chamber, Council Offices, Kilworthy Park, Drake Road, **TAVISTOCK** on **TUESDAY** the **6**th day of **SEPTEMBER 2016** at **2.00pm**.

Present: Cllr C R Musgrave – Chairman

Cllr J Yelland – Vice-Chairman

Cllr W G Cann OBE Cllr M Davies
Cllr J Evans Cllr P Kimber
Cllr C Mott Cllr D E Moyse

Cllr P J Ridgers

Head of Paid Service

Executive Director - Service Delivery and

Commercial Development

Locality Manager Specialists Manager

COP Lead Development Management Specialist – Performance and Intelligence Senior Specialist – Democratic Services Senior Case Manager – Democratic Services

Also in Attendance: Cllrs M J R Benson, D W Cloke, C Edmonds, A

F Leech, J B Moody, G Parker and D K A Sellis

*O&S(I) 21 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs L J G Hockridge and J R McInnes.

*O&S(I) 22 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The minutes of the Meeting held on 19 July 2016 were confirmed and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

*O&S(I) 23 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members and officers were asked to declare any interests in the items of business to be considered during the course of this meeting, but there was none made.

*O&S(I) 24 PUBLIC FORUM

It was noted that no issues had been raised by the general public.

*O&S(I) 25 HUB COMMITTEE FORWARD PLAN

The most recent (published September 2016) Hub Committee Forward Plan was presented for consideration.

In the ensuing discussion, reference was made to:-

- (a) the Devolution agenda. It was currently anticipated that an agenda item relating to the Devolution bid would be added to the Hub Committee Forward Plan for a meeting during the late Autumn;
- (b) the draft policy on Flying Drones from Public Open Space. In addition to ensuring compliance with national legislation, it was noted that the draft policy would give consideration to preventing people from being put at unnecessary risk.

*O&S(I) 26 LOCALITY MODEL REVIEW

A report was presented that detailed how the locality service was currently operating and included related performance information. Furthermore, the report also detailed future plans to develop the locality service to continue to meet Council needs.

In discussion, the following points were raised:-

- (a) For clarity, whilst it was confirmed that the task of testing private water supplies used to be a function of Environmental Health Officers, this had now been transferred to the responsibility of Mobile Locality Officers;
- (b) A number of Members wished to pay tribute to the value and effectiveness of the service that was being provided by the locality Team;
- (c) A Member questioned whether there was potential income streams that could be generated through the locality service. In response, the Head of Paid Service advised that this potential would continue to be explored as part of the Council's growth agenda;
- (d) With regard to the team workload, the Locality Manager confirmed that, whilst there were a number of conflicting priorities, there was sufficient current capacity within the service. However, this matter was closely monitored on an ongoing basis;
- (e) It was noted that 560 dog patrols had been undertaken by the service, with the subsequent intelligence and feedback gleaned then being given to Environmental Protection colleagues. As a general point, a debate took place on the current disparity in respect of some town and parish councils paying into the Dog Warden Scheme whilst others did not. In light of the perception being that contributing town and parish councils were subsidising the remaining authorities, the Head of Paid Service gave a commitment that he would look into this matter outside of the meeting;

(f) In terms of measuring customer feedback, Members were invited to give consideration to the most appropriate means of gauging feedback on the service.

It was then **RESOLVED** that the locality model continue to operate pending ongoing monitoring and a further report in twelve months' time.

*O&S(I) 27 PLANNING PEER CHALLENGE REVIEW 2016/17

Consideration was given to report that presented the Peer Challenge report and Action Plan that had been developed to implement the recommendations contained within the Report, arising from the Planning Improvement Peer Challenge visit conducted between 18 and 20 April 2016.

In discussion, the following points were raised:-

- (i) The recent upward trend in service improvements were acknowledged by the meeting and were also reflective of the recent meeting of Agents and Developers. In particular, officers advised that the designated contact number that had been established for Agents and Developers had been particularly well received;
- (ii) It was anticipated that the performance information would begin to be presented with effect from the next scheduled Planning and Licensing Committee meeting;
- (iii) A Member did reiterate his previously raised concerns that there were still examples of officers who were not replying to calls or emails received from town and parish councils and members of the public. In reply, the Head of Paid Service gave a strong assurance that this issue was being addressed;
- (iv) It was noted that some town and parish council clerk sessions had been arranged to take place in the next month and a planning service update would be included on the agenda for discussion at these events;
- (v) With regard to planning enforcement, Members were informed that Mobile Locality Officers were being utilised to issue notices and take photographs. In terms of the process followed for enforcement cases, the Committee was assured that, once a matter was closed, a letter was sent to the local town and parish council and Ward Member(s) that explained the reason for the closure. Moreover, whilst there was not direct dialogue on every enforcement case, the Committee was assured that none were closed without a site visit first taking place.

It was then **RESOLVED** that:

- it be noted that the Planning and Licensing Committee has accepted the Planning Peer Challenge report (as outlined in Appendix 2 of the presented agenda report);
- 2. it be noted that the content of the revised Action Plan 2016/17 (as outlined in Appendix 3 of the presented agenda report) be noted; and
- 3. the Committee receive, on a quarterly basis, key performance data as part of the Performance Indicator report.

*O&S(I) 28 PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Committee considered a report that presented the performance measures for Quarter 1. In summary, the report noted that performance had stayed relatively consistent with the previous quarter.

In discussion, reference was made to:-

- (a) the average end to end time for Benefits New Claims. When questioned, officers advised that the downward trend for this quarter was attributed to a number of staff departures as part of the T18 recruitment process that had been undertaken during this period;
- (b) how channel shift was resulting in savings by releasing resources. For example, it was noted that 50% of Housing Benefit claims were now made online which saved officer time in processing;
- (c) issues arising. In the future, it was requested that any issues that arose should be brought to the Committee in a more timely manner.

It was then **RESOLVED** that the performance levels against target communicated in the Balanced Scorecard and the performance figures supplied in the background and the exception report be noted.

*O&S(I) 29 TASK AND FINISH GROUP UPDATES

(a) T18 Programme – Interim Review

The Committee was advised that the first meeting of the Task and Finish Group had taken place earlier that day. The Group was working to a specific Terms of Reference and would be compiling a list of questions and looking to identify what had worked well and areas that could be improved.

*O&S(I) 30 COMMITTEE DECISIONS LOG

The latest version of the Committee decisions log was presented to the meeting and, with no debate or discussion, it was:

RESOLVED

That the published Decisions Log be noted.

*O&S(I) 31 EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

It was then:

RESOLVED

That, in accordance with Section 100(A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting on the grounds that exempt information is likely to be disclosed as defined in Paragraph 3 of Schedule 12(A) to the Act.

*O&S(I) 32 FIVE YEAR LAND SUPPLY – VERBAL REPORT

The Lead Hub Committee Member for the Local Plan and Strategic Housing presented a verbal update to Members on the position of the authority in relation to having a five year land supply. The Lead Member and COP Lead Development Management responded to a number of specific questions and concerns from Members of the Committee as well as the wider membership.

*O&S(I) 33 RE-ADMITTANCE OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

It was then:

RESOLVED

That the press and public be re-admitted to the meeting.

*O&S(I) 34 DRAFT WORK PROGRAMME 2016/17

The Committee took the opportunity to express its views in relation to its 2016/17 Work Programme.

(The meeting terminated at 4.30 pm)	
	Chairman



Agenda Item 14



OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY (INTERNAL) COMMITTEE – DECISIONS LOG

Meeting Date	Report Title and Minute Ref.	Decision / Action	Officer / Member	Officer comments
6 September 2016	Hub Committee Forward Plan O&S(I) 25	It was currently anticipated that an agenda item relating to the Devolution bid would be added to the Hub Committee Forward Plan for a meeting during the late Autumn.	Steve Jorden	Agenda item would be deferred to a later (as yet unconfirmed) date.
6 September 2016	Locality Model Review O&S(I) 26	A number of Members wished to pay tribute to the value and effectiveness of the service that was being provided by the locality Team;	Nadine Trout	
		A Member questioned whether there was potential income streams that could be generated through the locality service. In response, the Head of Paid Service advised that this potential would continue to be explored as part of the Council's growth agenda;	Steve Jorden / Nadine Trout	
		As a general point, a debate took place on the current disparity in respect of some town and parish councils paying into the Dog Warden Scheme whilst others did not. In light of the perception being that contributing town and parish councils were subsidising the remaining authorities, the Head of Paid Service gave a commitment that he would look into this matter outside of the meeting;	Steve Jorden	

		In terms of measuring customer feedback, Members were invited to give consideration to the most appropriate means of gauging feedback on the service. It was then RESOLVED that the locality model continue to operate pending ongoing monitoring and a further report in twelve months' time.	Nadine Trout / All Members Nadine Trout	Work Programme updated for Sept / Oct 2017 meeting.
6 September 2016	Planning Peer Challenge Review 2016/17 O&S(I) 27	It was anticipated that the performance information would begin to be presented with effect from the next scheduled Planning and Licensing Committee meeting;	Drew Powell	Implemented
		It was then RESOLVED that: 1. it be noted that the Planning and Licensing Committee has accepted the Planning Peer Challenge report (as outlined in Appendix 2 of the presented agenda report); 2. it be noted that the content of the revised Action Plan 2016/17 (as outlined in Appendix 3 of the presented agenda report) be noted; and 3. the Committee receive, on a quarterly basis, key performance data as part of the Performance Indicator report.	Drew Powell	
6 September 2016	Performance Measures O&S(I) 28	In the future, it was requested that any issues that arose should be brought to the Committee in a more timely manner. It was then RESOLVED that the performance levels against target communicated in the Balanced Scorecard and the performance figures supplied in the background and the exception report be noted.	Jim Davis Jim Davis	

